

Primrose Comprehensive Plan Steering Committee Minutes for June 22, 2009

Attending: Elkins, Garfoot, Gibson, Hayward, D. Judd, J. Judd

Elkins called the meeting to order at 7:40:

1. Garfoot moved and Hayward seconded the approval of May 27 minutes. Motion carried 5-0.
2. Elkins said that Standing had computer problems but would soon send us results of the demographic part of the survey.
3. Review of various elements of Comprehensive Planning.
 - a. Garfoot and J. Judd presented suggested revisions to Standing's draft of 'Natural Resources'. There were eight public comments made when the draft was presented. Garfoot and Judd recommended that Standing incorporate in some form the first four comments—comments (a) through (d) into the 'Policies and Programs' section. It was also suggested by a member of the audience that the Town make available any information about compensation provided by the State or County for damage caused by wildlife. That suggestion was accepted.
 - b. Hayward and Gibson presented suggested revision to Standing's draft of 'Cultural Resources'. Hayward posted the language of the proposed revision on the Town Square. Basically, the public comments made on the night the draft was presented could be divided into those that thought the Township should pursue the documentation of various historical information and those that thought the Township should make information about funds and programs for historical preservation available. The first suggested revision to the 'Goals' was to encourage the development of a Primrose Historical Society (volunteer) to preserve and display various historical information. The second was to add to the 'Policies' that the Town would make known any information provided to about funds or programs to Town residents through such means as the Website or Newsletter.
 - c. D. Judd read a draft of revisions regarding Utilities and Communication. It was agreed that it was important for the Town to encourage the development of the most up to date communication resources— e.g. high speed internet access. Language to that effect was to be incorporated into the draft.
 - d. Elkins explained the issues about the 'Mineral Extraction' part of the draft. It was decided that we would reference the County regulations, but include that new quarries would require (a) Town approval (b) would have maximize operation hrs. of 8-5 Monday through Friday (c) would have a minimum distance of one half mile from residences and (d) would be required to institute measures to minimize noise . In addition, the Town would categorize and define the types of quarries based upon the information provided by the County.

It was decided that this information would be provided to Standing by those that proposed the revisions. He would then incorporate this into a new draft that would be reviewed by the Committee and the public.

Public Comments were taken throughout the meeting, but at the end, one person complained that two of the proposed revision to Standing's draft had not been available before the meeting on the Town Square.

4. Motion to adjourn made by Gibson, seconded by Hayward. Motion carried 6-0.

Minutes respectfully submitted by Martha Gibson.